

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,215	11/20/2003	Lucas Hendricus Robertus Maria Prinsen	VAND11	3193
6980 7:	590 09/25/2006		EXAMINER	
TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP 600 PEACHTREE STREET, NE ATLANTA, GA 30308			CHARLES, MARCUS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
·	10/719,215	MARIA PRINSEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marcus Charles	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			_			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No.	ovember 2003	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allower	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.	_					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 November 2003</u> is/ai		ed to by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•	` ,				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	7			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(2) 5. (.).	1			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	* **					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d. ·				
	•	•				
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) LInterview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01-29-2004</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first action relating to serial application number 10/719,215 filed 11-20-2003. Claims 1-11 are currently pending.

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "the distance surface connected to the pulley sheave contact surface through a convex rounded off surface", as in claim 2, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an

Page 3

application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the first subtitle "BENEFIT CLAIM" should be –Cross Reference to Related Applications--. In addition, the disclosure is missing the sub-title for the "Summary".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 1-2 and 6-7, the phrases "on one hand" and "on the other hand" render the intended scope of the claims indefinite because the resulting claims do not clearly set forth the metes and bound of the patent protection desired.

In claim 3, the term "preferably" renders the intended scope of the claim is indefinite because a narrow range or limitation within the broad range or limitation is considered indefinite since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and

Art Unit: 3682

bound of the patent protection desired. In addition, it is not clear as to what is meant by "substantially completely flat".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-3 and 6-11, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP (63-266248) to Anpo et al. Anpo et al. discloses transverse element comprising a supporting surface (see attached drawing), a pulley sheave contact surface (38), a transition region (see attached drawing) which is connected to the supporting surface at one section and to the pulley sheave at another section, wherein the transition region inherently comprises a concave portion.

In claim 2, note the transition edge region comprises a distance surface (see attached drawing) connecting to the pulley contact surface via the inherently concave portion.

In claim 3, note the distance surface is substantially flat.

In claim 4, Anpo et al. discloses the claimed invention

In claims 6-7, note the transition edge convexly curved transition curved surface connected to the support surface and to the concave region (see figs. 7 and 8.

In claims 8-11, Anpo et al. disclose the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3682

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anpo et al. Anpo et al. fail to disclose the height difference between the supporting surface and the distance surface, and dimension of the distance surface in the horizontal transverse direction is al least 0.2 mm. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Anpo et al. such that the height difference between the supporting surface and the distance surface, and dimension of the distance surface in the horizontal transverse direction is al least 0.2 mm, since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Smeets (5,346,440), Kangokogi et al. (6,599,212), Kobayashi (6,440,024), Hattori (4,790,798; 4,758,211), Parks et al. (6,203,460), JP (03-229039) and JP (62-52238) disclose a transverse element of a belt for a CVT have a transitional edge.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3682

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7101. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 am to 6:00

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ridley Richard can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marcus Charles
Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 3682

September 15, 2006

Art Unit: 3682



